

Bromley Adult Education College

Internal Appeals Procedure and Policy

2023-24

Contents

Key staff involved in the procedure	2
Purpose of the procedure	2
Appeals relating to internal assessment decisions (cen	tre assessed marks) 3
Appeals relating to centre decisions not to support an check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or	• •
Appeals regarding centre decisions relating to access a consideration	
Appeals regarding centre decisions relating to other a	dministrative issues11
Internal Appeals form	12
Appeals log	
Further guidance to inform and implement appeals	14
Centre Number	14207
Policy Reviewed on	16/10/2023
Head of Centre signature (Helen Hart)	Mllat
Qualifications Officer signature (Veronica Hughes)	MHughes
Date of next review	01/10/2024

Key staff involved in the procedure

Role	Name(s)
Head of Centre	Helen Hart
Curriculum Development Managers	Izabela Bialas Lecybyl Paola Moioli Louise Smith Linda Oulton
Qualifications Officer/SENCo	Veronica Hughes

Purpose of the procedure

This procedure confirms Bromley Adult Educatation's compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (sections 5.3z, 5.8) that the centre will:

- have in place and available for inspection a written internal appeals procedure which
 must cover at least appeals regarding internal assessment decisions, post-result services
 and appeals, and centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special
 consideration
- draw to the attention of candidates its written internal appeals procedure

This procedure covers appeals relating to:

- Internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)
- Centre decisions not to support an application for clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal
- Centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration
- Centre decisions relating to other administrative issues

Appeals relating to internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)

GCSE English contains components of non-examination assessment which is internally assessed (marked) by the tutor and internally standardised by the CDM. The marks awarded (the internal assessment decisions) which contribute to the final grade of the qualification are then submitted by the deadline set by the awarding body for external moderation.

This procedure also covers other qualifications that contain non-examination assessments.

This procedure confirms Bromley Adult Education's compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (section 5.7) that the centre will:

- have in place and be available for inspection purposes, a written internal appeals
 procedure relating to internal assessment decisions and to ensure that details of this
 procedure are communicated, made widely available and accessible to all candidates
- before submitting marks to the awarding body inform candidates of their centre assessed marks and allow a candidate to request a review of the centre's marking

Deadlines for the submission of marks

Date	Awarding Body	Qualification/details	Exam series
07/05/2024	AQA	GCSE English - Spoken Language (endorsement) 8700/C	Summer-2024
09/02/2024	City & Guilds	Maths EL Number Award portfolio	N/A
09/02/2024	City & Guilds	English EL Writing Award portfolio	N/A
09/02/2024	NOCN	Maths L1 Number Award portfolio	N/A
09/02/2024	Gateway	English L1 Writing Award portfolio	N/A
28/06/2024	Pearson FS	English & Maths Entry Level	On-demand
28/06/2024	Pearson FS	English L1 and L2 – Speaking and Listening Unit	On-demand
7 days after	CPCAB	Level 2 Award in Introduction to	N/A
course end		Counselling Skills portfolio	
date			
7 days after	CPCAB	Level 2 Certificate in Counselling Skills –	N/A
course end		Internal Assessment	
date			
7 days after	NCFE	Level 1 Award in Photography portfolio	N/A
course end			
date			
7 days after	OCN London	Short Award Courses	N/A
course end			
date			

Bromley Adult Education College is committed to ensuring that whenever its staff mark candidates' work this is done fairly, consistently and in accordance with the awarding body's specification and subject-specific associated documents.

Bromley Adult Education ensures that all centre staff follow a robust *Non-examination*Assessment Policy (for the management of GCSE non-examination assessments). This policy details all procedures relating to non-examination assessments for the following qualifications:

- AQA GCSE English Language Spoken Language
- Pearson Functional Skills English and Maths Entry Level qualifications
- Pearson Functional Skills English and Maths L1 and L2 Speaking and Listening Unit
- City and Guilds Entry Level Maths Number Award qualifications (portfolio based)
- City and Guilds Entry Level English Award qualifications (portfolio based)
- NOCN Maths Level 1 Number Award (portfolio based)
- Gateway English Level 1 Writing Award (portfolio based)
- CPCAB Level 2 Award in Introduction to Counselling Skills (portfolio based)
- CPCAB Level 2 Certificate in Counselling Skills (Internal Assessment portfolio based)
- NCFE Level 1 Award in Photography (portfolio based)

Bromley Adult Education will ensure that details of this procedure are communicated and made widely available and accessible to all candidates

Candidates' work will be marked by staff who have appropriate knowledge, understanding and skill, and who have been trained in this activity. Bromley Adult Education is committed to ensuring that work produced by candidates is authenticated in line with the requirements of the awarding body. Where more than one subject tutor is involved in marking candidates' work, internal moderation and standardisation will ensure consistency of marking.

On being informed of their centre assessed marks, if a candidate believes that the above procedures were not followed in relation to the marking of his/her work, or that the assessor has not properly applied the marking standards to his/her marking, then he/she may make use of the appeals procedure below to consider whether to request a review of the centre's marking.

Bromley Adult Education will:

- Ensure that candidates are informed of their centre assessed marks (after internal verification where applicable) so that they may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body (for external verification where applicable)
- 2. Inform candidates that they will need to explain on what grounds they wish to request a review of an internally assessed mark as a review will only focus on the quality of work submitted
- 3. Inform candidates that they may request copies of materials (generally as a minimum, a copy of the marked assessment material (work) and the mark scheme or assessment criteria plus additional materials which may vary from subject to subject) to assist them in considering whether to request a review of the centre's marking of the assessment
- 4. Having received a request for copies of materials, promptly make them available to the candidate (or for some marked assessment materials, such as recordings, inform the candidate that the originals will be shared under supervised conditions) within 7 days.

- 5. Inform candidates they will not be allowed access to original assessment material unless supervised
- 6. Provide candidates with sufficient time to allow them to review copies of materials and reach a decision, informing candidates that if their decision is to request a review, they will need to explain what they believe the issue to be
- 7. Provide a clear deadline for candidates to submit a request for a review of the centre's marking. Requests will not be accepted after this deadline. Requests must be made in writing within 7 days of receiving copies of the requested materials by completing the internal appeals form. (A copy can be found at the end of this document).
- 8. Allow 14 days for the review to be carried out, to make any necessary changes to marks and to inform the candidate of the outcome, all before the awarding body's deadline for the submission of marks
- 9. Ensure that the review of marking is conducted by an assessor who has appropriate competence, has had no previous involvement in the assessment of that candidate for the component in question and has no personal interest in the outcome of the review
- 10. Instruct the reviewer to ensure that the candidate's mark is consistent with the standard set by the centre
- 11. Inform the candidate in writing of the outcome of the review of the centre's marking

The outcome of the review of the centre's marking will be made known to the head of centre who will have the final decision if there is any disagreement on the mark to be submitted to the awarding body. A written record of the review will be kept and made available to the awarding body upon request.

The awarding body will be informed if the centre does not accept the outcome of a review.

The moderation process carried out by the awarding body may result in a mark change, either upwards or downwards, even after an internal review. The internal review process is in place to ensure consistency of marking within the centre, whereas moderation by the awarding body ensures that centre marking is in line with national standards. The mark submitted to the awarding body is subject to change and should therefore be considered provisional.

This procedure is informed by the JCQ publications <u>Instructions for conducting non-examination</u> <u>assessments</u> (section 6.1), <u>Review of marking (centre assessed marks)</u> suggested template for centres and Notice to Centres - Informing candidates of their centre assessed marks

Appeals relating to centre decisions not to support an application for a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

This procedure confirms Bromley Adult Education's compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (section 5.13) that the centre will:

have available for inspection purposes and draw to the attention of candidates, a written
internal appeals procedure to manage disputes when a candidate disagrees with a centre
decision not to support an application for a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a
review of moderation or an appeal

Following the issue of results, awarding bodies make post-results services available. Services available include:

Service	Qualification	Internal deadline for requesting service	Awarding Body Deadline	Cost
Priority copy of the	GCSE	29/08/24	05/09/24	Free
marked paper (access	English and Maths			
to scripts)				
Clerical re-check	GCSE	13/09/24	26/09/24	£8.70 per unit,
(Service 1)	English and Maths			component or
				module
Review of Marking	GCSE	13/09/24	26/09/24	£40.35
(Service 2)	English and Maths			
Moderation review	GCSE	13/09/24	26/09/24	£242.50
(Service 3)	English and Maths			
Original marked paper	GCSE	13/09/24	26/09/24	Free
	English and Maths			
Copy of checked script	GCSE	Available after a	-	Free
	English and Maths	clerical re-check		
Appeals	GCSE	-	-	£120.05 for stage 1
	English and Maths			(preliminary stage)
				£205.80 for stage 2
				(appeal hearing)
Post Results Service	Pearson	7 days after result	14 days after	See Qualifications
	Functional Skills	issued	result issued	Officer for fees
	On-demand			
Access to Scripts –	Pearson	-	-	-
NOT available	Functional Skills			
	On-demand			
ResultsPlus	Pearson	14 days after result	-	-
	Functional Skills	issued		
	On-demand			
Appeals	СРСАВ	14 days after result	Within 30 days	See Qualifications
	ICSK-L2	issued	of result	Officer for fees
	CSK-L2		issued	
Appeals	NCFE	14 days after result	Within 30 days	See Qualifications
	L1 Award	issued	of result	Officer for fees
	Photography		issued	

Candidates are also made aware of the arrangements for post-results services prior to the issue of results. Candidates are also informed of the periods during which senior members of centre staff will be available/accessible immediately after the publication of results so that results may be discussed, and decisions made on the submission of reviews of marking. Candidates are made aware/informed by email and the college website.

If the centre or a candidate has a concern and believes a result may not be accurate, post-results services may be considered.

The JCQ post-results services currently available are detailed below.

Reviews of Results (RoRs):

Service 1 (Clerical re-check)

This is the only service that can be requested for objective tests (multiple choice tests)

- Service 2 (Review of marking)
- Priority Service 2 (Review of marking)

This service is available for externally assessed components of both unitised and linear GCE Alevel specifications (an individual awarding body may also offer this priority service for other qualifications)

Service 3 (Review of moderation)

This service is not available to an individual candidate

Access to Scripts (ATS):

- Copies of scripts to support reviews of marking
- Copies of scripts to support teaching and learning

Where a concern is expressed that a particular result may not be accurate, the centre will look at the marks awarded for each component part of the qualification alongside any mark schemes, relevant result reports, grade boundary information, etc. when made available by the awarding body to determine if the centre supports any concerns.

For written components that contributed to the final result, the centre will:

- 1. Where a place at university or college is at risk, consider supporting a request for a Priority Service 2 review of marking
- 2. In all other instances, consider accessing the script by:
 - a) (where the service is made available by the awarding body) requesting a
 priority copy of the candidate's script to support a review of marking by the
 awarding body deadline or
 - (where the option is made available by the awarding body) viewing the candidate's marked script online to consider if requesting a review of marking is appropriate
- 3. Collect informed written consent/permission from the candidate to access his/her script
- 4. On access to the script, consider if it is felt that the agreed mark scheme has been applied correctly in the original marking and if the centre considers there are any errors in the marking
- Support a request for the appropriate RoR service (clerical re-check or review of marking) if any error is identified

- 6. Collect informed written consent from the candidate to request the RoR service before the request is submitted
- 7. Where relevant, advise an affected candidate to inform any third party (such as a university or college) that a review of marking has been submitted to an awarding body]

Written candidate consent (informed consent via candidate email is acceptable) is required in all cases before a request for a RoR service 1 or 2 (including priority service 2) is submitted to the awarding body. Consent is required to confirm the candidate understands that the final subject grade and/or mark awarded following a clerical re-check or a review of marking, and any subsequent appeal, may be lower than, higher than, or the same as the result which was originally awarded. Candidate consent must only be collected after the publication of results.

For any moderated components that contributed to the final result, the centre will:

- Confirm that a review of moderation cannot be undertaken on the work of an individual candidate or the work of candidates not in the original sample submitted for moderation
- Consult the moderator's report/feedback to identify any issues raised
- Determine if the centre's internally assessed marks have been accepted without change by the awarding body – if this is the case, a RoR service 3 (Review of moderation) will not be available
- Determine if there are any grounds to submit a request for a review of moderation for the work of all candidates in the original sample]

Where a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking or a review of moderation, the centre will:

- For a review of marking (RoR priority service 2), advise the candidate he/she may request the review by providing informed written consent (and the required fee) for this service to the centre by the deadline set by the centre
- For a review of marking (RoR service 1 or 2), first advise the candidate to access a copy of his/her script to support a review of marking by providing written permission for the centre to access the script (and any required fee for this service) for the centre to submit this request
- After accessing the script to consider the marking, inform the candidate that if a request
 for a review of marking (RoR service 1 or 2) is required, this must be submitted by the
 deadline set by the centre by providing informed written consent (and the required fee
 for this service) for the centre to submit this request
- Inform the candidate that a review of moderation (RoR service 3) cannot be requested for the work of an individual candidate or the work of a candidate not in the original sample

If the candidate believes there are grounds to appeal against the centre's decision not to support a review of results, an internal appeal can be submitted to the centre by completing the **internal appeals form** at least 7 days prior to the internal deadline for submitting a request for a review of results.

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of his/her appeal as soon as possible before the internal deadline for submitting a RoR.

Following the RoR outcome, an external appeals process is available if the head of centre remains dissatisfied with the outcome and believes there are grounds for appeal. The JCQ publications **Post-Results Services** and **JCQ Appeals Booklet** (A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes) will be consulted to determine the acceptable grounds for a preliminary appeal.

Where the head of centre is satisfied after receiving the RoR outcome, but the candidate believes there are grounds for a preliminary appeal to the awarding body, a further internal appeal may be made to the head of centre. Following this, the head of centre's decision as to whether to proceed with a preliminary appeal will be based upon the acceptable grounds as detailed in the JCQ Appeals Booklet. Candidates are not permitted to make direct representations to an awarding body.

The **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted to the centre within 7 days of the notification of the outcome of the RoR. Subject to the head of centre's decision, this will allow the centre to process the preliminary appeal and submit to the awarding body within the required **30** calendar days of the awarding body issuing the outcome of the review of results process. Awarding body fees which may be charged for the preliminary appeal must be paid to the centre by the appellant before the preliminary appeal is submitted to the awarding body (fees are available from the qualifications officer). If the appeal is upheld by the awarding body, this fee will be refunded by the awarding body and repaid to the appellant by the centre.

Appeals regarding centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration

This procedure confirms Bromley Adult Education's compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (section 5.3z) that the centre will:

 have in place and available for inspection a written internal appeals procedure which must cover at least appeals regarding centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration

Bromley Adult Education will:

- comply with the principles and regulations governing access arrangements and special consideration as set out in the JCQ publications Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments and A guide to the special consideration process
- ensure that all staff who manage and implement access arrangements and special consideration are aware of the requirements and are appropriately supported and resourced

Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

In accordance with the regulations, Bromley Adult Education:

- recognises its duty to explore and provide access to suitable courses, through the access arrangements process submit applications for reasonable adjustments and make reasonable adjustments to the service the centre provides to disabled candidates.
- complies with its responsibilities in identifying, determining and implementing appropriate access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

Failure to comply with the regulations have the potential to constitute malpractice which may impact on a candidate's result(s).

Examples of failure to comply include:

- putting in place access arrangements/adjustments that are not approved
- failing to consider putting in place access arrangements (which may be a failure to comply with the duty to make reasonable adjustments)
- permitting access arrangements/adjustments within the centre which are not supported by appropriate evidence
- charging a fee for providing reasonable adjustments to disabled candidates

Special consideration

Where Bromley Adult Education has appropriate evidence signed by a member of the senior management team to support an application, it will apply for special consideration at the time as the assessment for a candidate who has temporarily experienced illness, injury or some other event outside of their control when the issue or event has had, or is reasonably likely to have had, a material effect on the candidate's ability to take an assessment or demonstrate his or her normal level of attainment in an assessment.

Centre decisions relating to access arrangements, reasonable adjustments and special consideration

This may include Bromley Adult Education's decision not to make/apply for a specific reasonable adjustment or to apply for special consideration, in circumstances where a candidate does not meet the criteria for, or there is no evidence/insufficient evidence to support the implementation of an access arrangement/reasonable adjustment or the application of special consideration.

Where Bromley Adult Education makes a decision in relation to the access arrangement(s), reasonable adjustment(s) or special consideration that apply for a candidate or candidates:

- If a candidate who is the subject of the relevant decision disagrees with the decision made and reasonably believes that the centre has not complied with its responsibilities or followed due procedures, a written request setting out the grounds for appeal should be submitted
- An internal appeals form should be completed and submitted within 7 days of the decision being made known to the appellant

To determine the outcome of the appeal, the head of centre will consult the respective JCQ publication to confirm the centre has complied with the principles and regulations governing access arrangements and/or special consideration and followed due procedures.

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal by the head of centre within 14 days of the appeal being received and logged by the centre.

If the appeal is upheld, Bromley Adult Education will proceed to implement the necessary arrangements/submit the necessary application.

This procedure is informed by the JCQ publications <u>A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes</u> (chapter 3), <u>Suspected Malpractice</u>: <u>Policies and Procedures (section 3.3)</u>, <u>General Regulations for Approved Centres (section 5.4)</u>, <u>Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments</u> (Importance of these regulations) <u>and A guide to the special consideration process</u> (sections 1, 2, 6)

Appeals regarding centre decisions relating to other administrative issues

Circumstances may arise that cause Bromley Adult Education to make decisions on administrative issues that may affect a candidate's examinations/assessments.

Where Bromley Adult Education may make a decision that affects a candidate or candidates:

- If a candidate who is the subject of the relevant decision disagrees with the decision made and reasonably believes that the centre has not complied the regulations or followed due process, a written request setting out the grounds for appeal should be submitted
- An **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted within 7 days of the decision being made known to the appellant.

The appellant will be informed by the head of centre of the outcome of the appeal 14 days of the appeal being received and logged by the centre.

This procedure is informed by the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes</u> (chapter 7)

			FOR CENTRE USE ONLY	
Internal Appeals form		Date		
			received	
Please tick box to indicate the nature of your appeal and complete all white boxes* on the form below		Reference No.		
 Appeal against an internal assessment decision and/or request a review of marking for an internal assessment Appeal against the centre's decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal Appeal against the centre's decision relating to access arrangements or special consideration Appeal against the centre's decision relating to an administrative issue 				
Name				
Awarding body		Qualification		
(If applicable, tick	below)			
Where my appeal is against an internal assessment decision, I wish to request a review of the centre's marking				
If necessary, continue on an additional page if this form is being completed electronically or overleaf if hard copy being completed				
Appellant signa	ture:		Date of signature:	

This form must be signed, dated and returned to the qualifications officer to the timescale indicated in the relevant appeals procedure

Appeals log

On receipt, all appeals are assigned a reference number and logged. Outcome and outcome date is also recorded.

The outcome of any review of the centre's marking will be made known to the head of centre. A written record of the review will be kept and logged as an appeal, so information can be easily made available to an awarding body upon request. The awarding body will be informed if the centre does not accept the outcome of a review – this will be noted on this log.

The Appeals Log will be kept by the qualifications officer.

Ref No.	Date received	Candidate/Appellant name	Outcome	Outcome date

Further guidance to inform and implement appeals

JCQ publications

- General Regulations for Approved Centres
 https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/general-regulations
- Post-Results Services
 https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/post-results-services
- JCQ Appeals Booklet (A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes)
 https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/appeals
- Notice to Centres Informing candidates of their centre assessed marks https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments
- Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice/
- Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/access-arrangements-and-special-consideration/regulations-and-guidance/
- A guide to the special consideration process https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/access-arrangements-and-special-consideration/regulations-and-guidance/

Ofqual publications

GCSE (9 to 1) qualification-level conditions and requirements
 https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gcse-9-to-1-qualification-level-conditions

CPCAB publications

Appeals Procedure
 https://www.cpcab.co.uk/public_docs/enquiries_and_appeals_policy

Pearson publications

Post Results Services
 https://qualifications.pearson.com/en/support/support-topics/results-certification/post-results-services.html

NCFE publications

Appeals Procedure
 https://www.ncfe.org.uk/qualifications/centre-assessment-support/appeals/